

Town of Jonesport

Planning Board Public Hearing Minutes

Time: 6:00 p.m.

Place: Jonesport Fire Station

Date: Sept. 1, 2022

Present:

Planning Board Members: Frank, Lee, Paul, and Alvin

Alternates: Anthony, Bill, and Diane

Counsel: Attorney John Hamer

Representing the Kingfish Maine Application: Bill Lane, Megan and Tom Sorby, Darrell Richards, and Attorney Adrian Kendall

Frank welcomed everyone and discussed procedure. Verbal comments only; written materials will not be accepted at the hearings. All written materials must be turned into the Town Office.

The Planning Board will be discussing tonight if Kingfish will be able to build in the Limited Residential area of the Shoreland Zone where their property is located.

Attorney Kendall, Megan Sorbey, and Bill Lane all gave points of review of the Kingfish project.

Motion: Motion was made by Paul; seconded by Frank to allow Bill Nunan to vote tonight.

Vote: Frank, Paul, Al in favor; Lee opposed.

Using the chart on page 11 of the Shoreland Zoning Ordinance (Section 14 Table of Land Uses), does the application meet Aquaculture and if so, the Planning Board can hear.

Comments:

Board: Frank, Lee, Al, Paul, Bill, Diane

Counsel: Attorney Hamer

Public: Attorney Beopple, Lyn Alley, Richard Aishton, Elaine Brown, Logan Alley, Martha, Julie Farris

Applicant: Attorney Kendall, Bill Lane, Tom Sorbey, and Megan Sorbey

Motion: Bill motioned; Frank seconded that the enterprises and activities proposed by Kingfish should be characterized as aquaculture and recognized per our Shoreland Zoning Ordinance.

Vote: Frank and Bill in favor; Paul, Lee, and Al opposed.

Paul asked for a revote. Attorney Hamer counseled to make a new motion.

Motion: Bill motioned; Frank seconded that the activities of Kingfish be recognized as aquaculture and be defined as a use in accordance to our Shoreland Zoning Ordinance.

Vote: Frank, Bill, and Paul in favor; Lee and Al opposed.

Section 15 Land Use Standards

15.A Minimum lot standards

Motion: Frank motioned; Al seconded that Kingfish meets the minimum lot standard under 15A.

Vote: Unanimous

15B.1

Comments:

Applicant: Bill Lane

Public: Attorney Boepple, Lyn Alley

Board: Frank and Paul

Motion: Frank motioned; Bill seconded that the Kingfish facility, specifically the two buildings that are in the Shoreland Zone, satisfy B.1 due to being its functionally water dependent use and A. states, setback provision doesn't apply.

Vote: Unanimous

15B.2

Comments:

Applicant: Bill Lane

Public: Cynthia Beauvias

Motion: Frank motioned; Al seconded that Kingfish's buildings satisfy B.2 in not being over 35 feet in height.

Vote: Unanimous

15.B.3

Comments:

Applicant: Bill Lane

Motion: Bill motioned; Al seconded that the Kingfish design satisfies the requirement for section B.3 in that the elevation sufficiently clears the 100 year flood plain.

Vote: Unanimous

15.B.4

Comments:

Applicant: Bill Lane

Motion: Frank motioned, Paul seconded that Kingfish satisfies #4 in that they do not exceed more than 20% of the lot that is within the Shoreland Zone with new or expanded structures.

Vote: Unanimous

15.B.5

Comments:

Applicant: Bill Lane

Motion: Frank motioned, Bill seconded that #5 is not applicable.

Vote: Unanimous

15.C.1

Comments:

Applicant: Bill Lane and Megan Sorbey

Public: Crystal Canney, Attorney Boepple, and Richard Aishton

Board: Lee and Paul

Counsel: Attorney Hamer

Motion: Al motioned, Paul seconded that according to the engineering it meets the requirement.

Vote: Unanimous

15.C.2

Comments:

Board: Bill, Frank, and Anthony

Applicant: Bill Lane

Public: Cynthia Beauvias

Motion: Bill motioned, Paul seconded that the Kingfish design satisfies Piers, Docks, Wharves, Bridges C.2

Vote: Unanimous

15.C.3

Comments:

Public: Rock Alley, Holly O’Neal, John Church, Joy Alley, Colon Alley, Martha, and Owen Moody

Applicant: Megan Sorbey and Tom Sorbey

Board: Anthony, Lee, Al, Bill, and Frank

Motion: Frank motioned, Bill seconded the facility, specifically the intake and outlet pipes, are situated to minimize as much as possible the adverse effects on fisheries.

Vote: Frank, Bill, Al, and Paul in favor; Lee opposed.

15.C.4

Comments:

Board: Paul, Frank, and Lee

Counsel: Attorney Hamer

Public: Holly O’Neal, Crystal Canney, Lyn Alley, John Church, Richard Aishton, Glenda Beal, and Owen Moody

Applicant: Megan Sorbey and Bill Lane

Motion: Bill motioned, Frank seconded that Kingfish design satisfies the requirements of C.4 “The facility shall be no larger in dimension than necessary to carry on the activity and be consistent with existing conditions, use, and character of the area.

Vote: Unanimous

15.C.5

Public comment: Carrie Peabody

Motion: Frank motioned, Paul seconded that Kingfish satisfies C.5 because they are water dependent as operational necessity.

Vote: Unanimous

15.C.6

Motion: Frank motioned, Paul seconded that 15.C.6 was not applicable.

Vote: Unanimous

15.C.7

Motion: Frank motioned, Paul seconded that 15.C.7 was not applicable.

Vote: Unanimous

15.D. 1 & 2

Motion: Frank motioned, Paul seconded that 15.D. 1 & 2 was not applicable.

Vote: Unanimous

15.E. 1-6

Motion: Frank motioned, Al seconded that 15.C.6 was not applicable.

Vote: Unanimous

15.F

Comments:

Board: Lee and Frank

Counsel: Attorney Hamer

Public: Joy Alley

Motion: Frank motioned, Bill seconded that F. is not applicable because the shoreland the applicant is in, is not adjacent to a great pond, rivers, or streams that flow to great ponds.

Vote: Unanimous

15.G.1-3

Bill Lane spoke for the applicant.

Motion: Frank motioned, Bill seconded that G. Parking Areas is satisfied with Kingfish's application.

Vote: Unanimous

15 H.1

Comments:

Applicant: Bill Lane and Attorney Kendall

Public: Attorney Boepple

Motion: Frank motioned, Al seconded that H.1 is satisfied because the road they put in is to access facilities located nearer to the shoreline due to operational necessity.

Vote: Unanimous

15. H.2

Comments:

Public: Joy Alley and Lyn Alley

Applicant: Megan Sorbey

Motion: Frank motioned, Bill seconded that Kingfish satisfies H.2 due to there not being any existing public roads.

Vote: Unanimous

15 H. 3

Motion: Frank motioned, Bill seconded that #3 does not apply.

Vote: Unanimous

Frank stated he was closing the meeting and we will be starting next week on H.4. Frank motion to adjourn, Paul seconded. Unanimous 9:00p.m.

Note: Frank, as chair, spoke and asked many questions throughout. Other Planning Board members also may have asked questions but not be listed under comments.

Minutes by TJM